

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

5 * * *

6 DARLENE STEVENS, et al.,

Case No. 2:17-cv-00970-JCM-PAL

7 Plaintiffs,

ORDER

8 v.

(Subst Atty – ECF No. 62)

9 WAL-MART STORES, INC.,

10 Defendant.


11 This matter is before the court on the Substitution of Attorneys (ECF No. 62). Robert K.
12 Phillips and Pooja Kumar of Phillips Spallas & Angstadt, LLC seek leave to be substituted in the
13 place of Charles Abbott of the Law Office of Charles Abbot, and Ronald Reynolds and Harrison
14 Reynolds of Reynolds & Associates for defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. LR IA 11-6(c) provides
15 that the signature of an attorney to substitute in a case “constitutes an express acceptance of all
16 dates then set for pretrial proceedings, for trial or hearing, by the discovery plan, or in any court
17 order.” LR IA 11-6(d) also provides that the substitution of an attorney “shall not alone be reason
18 for delay of pretrial proceedings, discovery, the trial, or any hearing in this case.”

19 Having reviewed and considered the matter,

20 **IT IS ORDERED** that:

- 21 1. The Substitution of Attorney (ECF No. 62) is **GRANTED**.
22 2. Robert K. Phillips and Pooja Kumar of Phillips Spallas & Angstadt are substituted in
23 the place of Charles Abbott of the Law Office of Charles Abbott, and Ronald Reynolds
24 and Harrison Reynolds of Reynolds & Associates for defendant Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.,
25 subject to the provisions of LR IA 11-6(c) and (d).

26 DATED this 28th day of February, 2018.

27 
28 PEGGY A. TEEN
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE